

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: LAZARD PATRIMOINE SRI

Legal entity identifier: 969500BJU67G05AQ1711

Sustainable investment

means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The EU

Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**.

That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It made a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective

No

It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 68,23% of sustainable investments

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments



Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the product are attained.

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

In implementing its investment strategy, security analysis, and ESG integration process described below, the portfolio promotes the following environmental characteristics:

Environmental policy:

- Integration by companies of environmental factors appropriate to the sector, geographic location and any other relevant material factor
- Development of an environmental management strategy and system
- Development of a climate strategy

Controlling environmental impacts:

- Limiting and adapting to global warming
- Responsible water and waste management
- Preservation of biodiversity

Environmental impact management of products and services:

- Ecodesign of products and services
- Environmental innovation

Moreover, the portfolio promotes the following social characteristics:

Respect for human rights:

- Prevention of situations of human rights violations
- Respect for the right to safety and security of persons
- Privacy and data protection

Human resources management:

- Constructive social dialogue
- Training and career management conducive to human development
- Promoting diversity
- Health, safety and well-being at work

Value chain management

- Responsible supply chain management
- Product quality, safety and traceability

This product does not use a specific index to determine its alignment with the environmental and social characteristics it promotes.

● ***How did the sustainability indicators perform?***

The achievement of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by this product is measured by sustainability indicators at several levels:

In terms of valuation in the internal analysis model:

The ESG analysis of directly held securities is based on a proprietary model that relies on an internal ESG grid. Based on the various data provided by our ESG partners (non-financial analysis agencies, external service providers, etc.), the annual reports of the companies and direct exchanges with them, the analysts responsible for monitoring each stock draw up an internal ESG rating.

This rating is based on both a quantitative (energy intensity, staff turnover rate, board independence rate, etc.) and qualitative approach (solidity of environmental policy, employment strategy, competence of directors, etc.).

Each E, S and G pillar is rated from 1 to 5 based on a minimum of ten relevant key indicators per dimension.

These internal ESG ratings are built into the valuation models through the Beta used to define the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) for equity management and to the issuer selection process and the determination of issuers' weight in the portfolio for bond management.

In terms of controlling the elements of the investment strategy with an external data provider:

In addition, to confirm the robustness of the internal model, the analysts-managers in charge of management compare the portfolio's average ESG rating to that of its reference ESG universe using Moody's ESG Solutions ratings (ratings between 0 and 100).


Evolution of the ESG score



The portfolio's reference ESG universe is:
Equity compartment: MSCI World

Bloomberg code: MSDEWIN Index;
 Interest rate compartment: 90% BofA Merrill Lynch Euro Corporate Index Total Return EUR
 Bloomberg code: ER00 Index;
 10% ICE BofA Euro Non-Financial Fixed & Floating Rate High Yield Constrained Index

ESG score by pillar



- **... and compared to previous periods?**

Not applicable

- **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

The definition of sustainable investment within the meaning of the SFDR is based on the selection of indicators that show a substantial contribution to one or more environmental and social objectives, which do not harm any of the adverse impact indicators and ensures good governance principles.

The environmental indicators used are as follows:

- Carbon footprint (PAI 2)
- Carbon intensity (PAI 3)
- Implied temperature rise (ITR)
- Number of “low-carbon” patents held

The social indicators used are as follows:

- % of women in executive management
- Number of hours of training for employees
- Benefits coverage

- Diversity policies by management

A sustainable investment objective is attained if the substantial contribution of the corresponding indicator is validated, the other indicators are not prejudiced, and good governance principles are complied with. Substantial contribution is measured by the application of thresholds for each of the above indicators.

The table below presents the rules (targets) set for each indicator as well as the result obtained over the past period. The result is the average share of the portfolio invested in companies meeting these criteria, calculated on a quarterly basis. The average share is calculated according to the average positions observed over the past period, coupled with the non-financial data at the end of the period.

	Rule	Average share of the portfolio
Carbon footprint in €m	Included in the lowest 20% of the sector	5,58%
GHG intensity	Included in the lowest 20% of the sector	6,76%
Implied temperature rise in 2100	≤ 2°C	20,08%
Number of low-carbon patents	Included in the top 20% of the universe	10,80%
% of women in executive management	Included in the top 20% of the universe	2,36%
Number of hours of training for employees	Included in the top 20% of the universe	0,84%
Benefits coverage	See sustainable investment presentation www.lazardfreresgestion.fr	6,58%
Diversity policies by management	See presentation www.lazardfreresgestion.fr	16,67%

- ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

The absence of significant harm is assessed on the basis of all the PAI indicators listed in Table 1 of Annex I to Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022. In the event of insufficient coverage of the investment universe for certain indicators, alternative criteria may be used on an exceptional basis (such as for the PAI 12, use of an indicator on management attention to diversity). Using alternative criteria is subject to the independent control of the Risks and Compliance department. Alternative indicators are also presented on the Lazard Frères Gestion website under the heading “Sustainable investment methodology”.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Indicators of the principal adverse sustainability impacts (PAI) are considered at two levels.

Firstly, they are integrated into the internal analysis of each security monitored, carried out by our analyst-managers in the internal ESG analysis grids.

In addition, they are used to assess the share of sustainable investments.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

Compliance with minimum labour and human rights safeguards (OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights) and the eight fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organization is a key indicator for verifying that companies in which investments are made apply good governance practices.

We therefore check whether the company applies a labour rights due diligence policy in accordance with the eight fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organization (PAI 10) as part of our DNSH process. We also ensure that the investments made apply good governance practices, by monitoring a governance rating from a data provider and the internal rating on the Governance pillar.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The proprietary model for ESG analysis of portfolio companies takes into account all indicators related to companies' principal adverse impacts (PAI) in terms of sustainability.

These indicators are integrated into the internal grids used to establish a security's ESG rating, which is taken into account in the valuation models through the Beta used to define the weighted average cost of capital for equity management and in the process of selecting issuers and determining their portfolio weight for bond management.

In addition, as stated above, all of the PAI indicators listed in Table 1 of Annex I of the Regulatory Technical Standards of the SFDR are taken into account in the definition of sustainable investment.



The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 01/01/2022 to 30/12/2022

What were the top investments of this financial product?


Largest investments	Sector	Percentage of assets	Country
1. FRANCE GOVERNMENT BOND OAT 1.75% 25-06-39	Public administration	5,83%	France
2. LAZARD EURO MONEY MARKET	UCIs	5,34%	France
3. FRANCE GOVERNMENT BOND OAT 0.5% 25-06-44	Public administration	5,07%	France
4. FRANCE GOVERNMENT BOND OAT 0.1 % 25-07-38	Public administration	2,87%	France
5. DBR 0 08/15/30	Public administration	2,67%	Germany
6. BUNDSOBLIGATION 0.0% 10-10-25	Public administration	2,59%	Germany
7. ITALY BUONI POLIENNALI DELTESORO 1.5% 30-04-45	Public administration	2,22%	Italy
8. SPAIN GOVERNMENT BOND 1.0% 30-07-42	Public administration	2,10%	Spain
9. LAZARD ALPHA EURO SRI 1	UCIs	1,61%	France
10. LAZARD EQUITY INFATION SRI A SHARES	UCIs	1,51%	France
11. BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND 0.0% 15-08-31	Public administration	1,42%	Germany
12. BELGIUM GOVERNMENT BOND 1.25% 22-04-33	Public administration	1,29%	Belgium
13. NETHERLANDS GOVERNMENT 0.5% 15-01-40	Public administration	0,93%	Netherlands
14. AGEN FRA 1.375% 17-09-24 EMTN	Public administration	0,65%	France



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investment?

- **What was the asset allocation?**


Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.



Details of sustainable investments	
Weight of sustainable investments in the portfolio	68,23%
Of which sustainable investments E	58,97%
Of which sustainable investments S	23,02%

An investment is considered to be sustainable if it complies with at least one of the rules presented above, while not prejudicing any of the negative impact indicators and ensuring good governance criteria. A company can therefore be considered as environmentally and socially sustainable.

● **In which economic sectors were the investments made?**



	#1A Sustainable Investments	#1B Other investments meeting E and S criteria
Administrative and support service activities	0%	0%
Activities of extraterritorial organisations and bodies	1%	0%
Financial and insurance activities	11%	16%
Real estate activities	1%	0%
Professional, scientific and technical activities	1%	0%
Public administration	29%	0%
Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	1%	0%
Construction	1%	0%
Manufacturing	8%	1%
Mining and quarrying	0%	0%
Information and communication	2%	0%
UCI	5%	4%
Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities	0%	0%
Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	2%	1%
Unknown sector	6%	5%
Transportation and storage	1%	1%

Exposure to the fossil fuel sector averaged 5,66% over the period.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?



● **Did the financial product invest in Taxonomy aligned fossil fuel and/or nuclear energy activities?**

At the date of production of this document, Lazard Frères Gestion does not have the data needed to identify the share of activities related to Taxonomy aligned fossil fuel and/or nuclear energy sectors.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee companies today;
- **capital expenditure (CapEx)** shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant for a transition to a green economy;
- **operational expenditure (OpEx)** reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The calculation of investment percentages aligned with the EU Taxonomy is based on estimated data.

- **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

At the date of production of this document, Lazard Frères Gestion does not have the data needed to identify the share of transitional or enabling activities.

- **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Not applicable



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy is 58,95%

It corresponds to all activities not aligned with the Taxonomy but respecting the environmental objectives of sustainable investment mentioned above.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments is 23,02%.

It corresponds to all activities that achieve one or more of the social objectives of sustainable investment mentioned above.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The "other" category consists mainly of money market UCIs and cash. Over the past period, the "other" segment represented on average 2,86%.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

To promote environmental and social characteristics, the following elements have been respected:

- The non-financial analysis rate

The non-financial analysis rate of the product's investments is over 90%.

This rate is expressed as a percentage of total assets.

Over the past period, the non-financial analysis rate was 97,14% on average.

- The portfolio's average ESG rating

The analysts-managers ensure that the portfolio's weighted average ESG rating is higher than the average of the reference universe by using Moody's ESG Solutions non-financial rating

framework (ratings between 0 and 100), after eliminating the 20% of the lowest rated securities.

In addition, the management company makes exclusions prior to investments:

- Normative exclusions related to controversial weapons (cluster munitions, anti-personnel mines, biological and chemical weapons) and violations of the UN Global Compact.
- Sector exclusions (tobacco and thermal coal).
- Geographical exclusions (tax havens on the FATF list).

For more information on the engagement and voting policy, please refer to the voting and engagement reports available at <https://www.lazardfreresgestion.fr>.



Benchmark indices are indices used to measure whether the financial product has the environmental or social characteristics it promotes.

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

- ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

Not applicable.

- ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

Not applicable.

- ***How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?***

Not applicable.

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

Not applicable.